

## Disposition of Claims


In response to the non-final summary action dated 11/25/2005, **Disposition of Claims 4)** Claims pending in the application, please amend the above patent as follows:  
Page 18, Claim 2


2. A variable point generation craps game, comprising:
  - a random indicator generator, said random indicator being capable of generating only members of a predetermined set of card indicators; wherein said special deck of card comprises 36 standard playing cards, said 36 cards being in groups as follows: 1 "two" of diamonds; 2 "threes" one heart, one spade; 3 "fours" one diamond, one club, one heart; 4 "fives" two hearts, one spade, one club; 5 "sixes" one diamond, one spade, one club, two hearts; 6 "sevens" two hearts, two clubs, two spades, 5 "eights" one diamond, one club, one spade, two hearts; 4 "nines" one spade, one club, two hearts, 3 "tens" one diamond, one heart, one spade; 2 Aces, one heart, one spade; 1 Face Card of diamonds, each of said cards having an assigned point values as shown on said card with each "Ace" having a point value of eleven and each "Face Card" having a point value of twelve, each of said point values providing a point for said craps game;
  - at least one point value selector;
  - each of said set of predetermined card indicators designating a predetermined operation of at least one of said point value selectors, each of said point value selectors has an initial state providing all possible point values obtainable from a single roll of a standard pair of dice, said point values being available from point value selector with the same probability as from said standard pair of dice;
  - said predetermined operation of each of said point value selectors yielding at least one point for said craps game upon designation by said random indicator generator;
  - and a bet, said bet depending upon at least one card point value by at least one of said point value selectors.

**Disposition of Claims 6)** Claims rejected. In response to 35 U.S.C 102(B), in light of the amendment as stated above, the point is moot and therefore not in conflict with 102.

Dated: January 17, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
Alireza Pirouzkhan

By:   
Susan L. Melendez